

OSTIM TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

Electrical - Electronics Engineering Department

(2024 - 2025)

SEMICONDUCTOR

EEE 204 (1)

Assist. Prof. Pelin TÖREN ÖZGÜN

Berk Muammer KUZU

Muhammed BUCAK

Nursena GÜLER

Semih GENÇAY

Mustafa Enes ÖZTÜRK

Charge Carrier Dynamics and Quantum Efficiency Limitation in Quantum Dot Solar Cells

Contents

Contents	i
Abstract	iii
List of Abbreviations	iv
Introduction	v

CHAPTER - 1

1. FUNDAMENTALS OF CHARGE CARRIER DYNAMICS IN QUANTUM DOT SOLAR CELLS

1.1 Carrier Generation, Separation, Transport, and Recombination	1
1.1.1 Carrier Generation	1
1.1.2 Carrier Seperation	1
1.1.3 Carrier Transport	2
1.1.4 Carrier Recombination	2
1.2 Role of Quantum Confinement	2
1.2.1 Size Band-Gap Relationship	3
1.2.2 Density Of States	3
1.2.3 Wave Function Overleap	3
1.2.4 Core/Shell Architectures Impact	4

CHAPTER - 2

2. QUANTUM DOT STRUCTURE AND IT'S INFLUENCE ON EFFICIENCY

Dot Solar Cells5	2.1 Structural Factors Determining Efficiency in (
5	2.1.1 The Effect of Physical Size on Produ
ty6	2.1.2 The Effect of Geometric Shape on Pr
7	2.1.3 The Effect of Material on Productivit

2.1.4 The Effect of Temparature on Productivity	7
2.2 General Effects of Quantum Dot Structure on Efficiency	8

CHAPTER – 3

3. QUANTUM EFFICIENCY LIMITATIONS AND LOSS MECHANISMS

3.1 Charge Trapping, Auger Recombination And Interface Defects
3.1.1 Charge Trapping9
3.1.2 Auger Recombination10
3.1.3 Interface Defects10
2 Quantum Efficiency Limitations And Loss Mechanisms11
3.2.1 Factors Limiting Quantum Efficiency11

CHAPTER – 4

4. INCREASING THE EFFICIENCY OF CARRIER AND QUANTUM EFFICIENCY

4.1 Passivation	12
4.1.1 Sulfur Pacification	13
4.1.2 Plasma Pacification	13
4.2 Multiple Exciton Generation (MEG)	13
4.3 Increasing Doping Efficiency	13
4.3.1 Choosing The Right Dopant	14
4.3.2 Dopant Optimization	14
4.3.3 Heat Treatment	14
4.4 Band Gap Engineering	14
CONCLUSION	16
FUTURE OUTLOOK	17
Bibliography	18

ABSTRACT

Quantum dot solar cells very crucial and promising in photovoltaic technology thanks to their adjustable size band gaps, multiple excition generation (MEG), and low-cost integration potential. Nevertheless, their theoretical advantages and efficiency is blocked by critical limitations in charge carrier dynamics and quantum efficiency. This writing purposes investigates the performance limitations and improvement strategies of quantum dot solor cells by examining four main topics: fundamentals of charge carrier dynamics in quantum Dot Solar cells, quantum dot structure, quantum efficiency limitations and losses, strategies to enhance carrier dynamics and quantum efficiency. Firstly, we investigate the fundamentals of charge carrier dynamics, including separation, generation, band-gap relationship, quantum confinement, core/shell architectures, transport, and recombination. Secondly, the structure of quantum dots. We investigate their quantum dot structure and it's influence on efficiency, and structural factors determining efficiency. Thirdly, we evaluate the quantum efficiency limitations and loss mechanisms such as Auger processes, charge trapping at interfaces, and non-radiative recombination. These factors donate to reduced carrier lifetimes and collection efficiencies. Eventually, we investigate strategies to enhance carrier dynamics and quantum concentrating on passivation, multiple exciton generation, increasing doping efficiency, efficiency, band gap engineering. Quantum dot solar cells offer unique properties and advantages. This research indicate the necessity of fine-tuning band alignment, surface treatments, and charge collection mechanisms. Our search offers guidance for the development of advanced nanostructured solar cells with improved efficiency and long-term stability.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- i. QDSC's: Quantum dot solar cells
- ii. QD: Quantum dot
- iii. MEG: Multiple Exciton Generation

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, with the increasing consumption trend, the increasing energy need has made it necessary to turn to renewable energy sources. Solar panels were developed to use the sun, which is one of these sources. As a result of the studies carried out to increase the efficiency of solar panels, quantum dot solar panels started to be used. The fact that quantum dot solar panels are thought to be more efficient than classical solar panels in the future is based on important reasons such as the configurability of optoelectronic properties. The faster hot carrier cooling in bulk semiconductors, the more difficult multi-exciton generation, the inability to tune the bandgap, and the limited surface engineering have shifted the trend towards QDSC's. The four chapters presented in this paper cover charge carrier dynamics and how quantum confinement affects these dynamics, the effect of quantum dot structure on efficiency, the limits and loss mechanisms of quantum efficiency, the improvement of charge carrier dynamics and the enhancement of quantum efficiency.

1. FUNDAMENTALS OF CHARGE CARRIER DYNAMICS IN QUANTUM DOT SOLAR CELLS

The basic structure of quantum dot solar cells consists of nanocrystalline semiconductors called quantum dots. Quantum dot solar cells(QDSC) can be configured more easily than conventional solar cells. This is because the properties of quantum dots can be changed. With the acceleration of the development of quantum dot technology in recent years, QDSC efficiency is increasing. In this section, the charge carrier dynamics of QDSC's will be explained.

Conceptual Overview

The absorption of light generates excited charge carriers that produce electric current in any classical solar cell. In quantum dot solar cells these events take place in a quantum confined environment. Quantum confinement occurs when the size of the quantum dot is small enough to quantise the charge carriers. This leads to a size-dependent band gap as opposed to a continuous band structure. This quantisation enhances the excitonic effects but requires special study of the carrier dynamics.

1.1 Carrier Generation, Separation, Transport, and Recombination

1.1.1 Carrier Generation

When a QD absorbs a photon with an energy above its bandgap energy, an electron moves from the valence band to the conduction line and a hole is formed. The electron-hole pair binds together as excitons inside the quantum dot. QDs can also produce more than one electron-hole pair from a high-energy photon. This is referred to as multiple exciton generation (MEG). In bulk semiconductors this process can occur, but in contrast to quantum dots the energy of the photon required must be much higher. Quantum confinement slows down the hot carrier cooling, so instead of the excess energy absorbed from the photon being converted into heat, extra excitons can be generated. This increases the electric current produced by QDSCs.

1.1.2 Carrier Seperation

QDSC's realise exciton dissociation at interfaces or internal sites. p-n junctions within the QD can provide the electric field for electron-hole separation. QDSC's place junctions or acceptors close to QD's because of the need for fast splitting of excitons that must be processed before recombination.

1.1.3 Carrier Transport

After the exciton splitting, free electrons and holes are produced. These electrons and holes have to pass through the quantum dot film before reaching the electrodes. Charge transport in quantum dots is fundamentally different from the classical semiconductor crystal structure. Since QDs behave as an isolated nanocrystal due to the insulating ligands, charge carriers tunnel or jump between neighbouring dots. The hopping and mobility of charge carriers is strongly influenced by the connectivity and distance between the dots. Synthesised QDs are coated with long organic ligands and this makes the charge transfer of QDs difficult. By ligand exchange, the QDs are brought closer together and the long insulating ligands are replaced by shortened molecules to reduce the tunnelling barriers. Strengthening the electronic coupling between quantum dots increases the mobility of charge carriers. However, many QD films still exhibit disorder, so achieving high mobility becomes an ongoing challenge. To optimise the mobility of charge carriers in QDSCs, the inter-QD spacing, QD arrangement and surface chemistry need to be improved.

1.1.4 Carrier Recombination

Any carrier that does not aggregate eventually recombines back to the ground state and releases its energy as heat or light. Recombination in QDs occurs radiatively and non-radiatively. Radiative recombination occurs through photon emission, while non-radiative recombination occurs through channels such as Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) recombination or Auger recombination. SRH recombination (trap-assisted recombination) occurs when an electron or hole recombines after falling into a defect state, dissipating energy as lattice heat. Auger recombination occurs when an electron-hole pair recombines and then transfers its energy to another electron or hole. Instead of emitting light, this results in thermalisation. The Auger process requires more than one carrier at a quantum dot.

SRH recombination tends to be the dominant loss pathway since QD surfaces will contain many defect sites if not properly passivated. Therefore, surface passivation techniques are playing an important role in eliminating SRH recombination. The elimination of any non-radiative recombination has a direct impact on the performance and efficiency of QDSCs.

1.2 Role of Quantum Confinement

The abovementioned is linked to the physics of quantum dots. The nanoscale size of QDs affects the energy environment for charge carriers, which in turn influences the generation,

transport and recombination processes. Shrinking a quantum dot increases the bandgap and creates a more discrete DOS. To fully understand the charge transport dynamics in QDSCs, it is necessary to unravel how quantum confinement alters the carrier behaviour in devices.

1.2.1 Size Band-Gap Relationship

There is an inverse relationship between the size of quantum dots and band gap. Large quantum dots have a narrower bandgap, while small quantum dots have a wider bandgap. This configuration is based on quantum confinement.

The spectral adaptation of quantum dots comes from the ability to adjust their size. In multi-junction solar panels, QD's of different sizes can capture a large part of the photon energy spectrum. However, making the quantum dots too large or too small will reduce the efficiency of QDSC's.

1.2.2 Density Of States

Quantum confinement also changes the density of states of the semiconductor. In bulk semiconductors the DOS is continuous, while in quantum dots the energy levels are discrete. When a quantum dot absorbs a photon, the electron or hole is allowed to occupy certain levels, which prevents the hot carrier from travelling continuously. Therefore, high-energy carriers cool more slowly. As a result, quantum dots greatly increase the lifetime of hot carriers, which increases the chances of multiple exciton production.

1.2.3 Wave Function Overleap

The spatial overlap of electrons and holes in the quantum dot affects both the carrier charge separation and the recombination process. In a type-1 quantum dot, the hole and electron are mostly confined in the same region, resulting in a strong photoluminescence effect, but this allows excitons to recombine before they are collected. In a type-2 structure, the electron and hole are located in different regions, which reduces the probability of recombination and increases the exciton lifetime. However, as the separation distance between the electron and hole increases, the probability of trapping increases and this should be taken into account in the design. It is important to reduce recombination and trapping probabilities through QD structural configuration.



1.2.4 Core/Shell Architectures Impact

Colloidal quantum dots are usually designed as hetero structures in which a semiconductor material (core) is embedded in a material (shell) with a wider bandgap. The core-shell structure provides band alignment configuration and surface passivation. In the core-shell structure of a type-1 quantum dot, which can be a PbS core with a CdS shell, the band edges of the shell overlap the upper edges of the core shell and both electron and hole are trapped inside the core. This structure can shorten the exciton lifetime while reducing SRH recombinations.

In the type-2 core-shell structure, the electron is usually in the shell while the hole is usually in the core. This slows down exciton lifetime and hot carrier cooling, but increases MEG efficiency. However, the Type-2 structure increases the probability of entrapment and this should be taken into account in the design

2. QUANTUM DOT STRUCTURE AND IT'S INFLUENCE ON EFFICIENCY

QDSC have attracted attention and are rapidly developing in photovoltaic technologies of late years with the developments in nanotechnology and developed materials science. Quantum dots are semiconductor crystals with nanometer-scale dimensions and serve as basic components of electronics and optoelectronics[14]. They also display quantum confinement effects due to their small size. In other words, thanks to quantum confinement effects, quantum dots, which are the most basic components of these cells, are nanometer-sized semiconductor particles that exhibit electronic and optical behaviors. These properties of quantum dots play an important role and are important in processes such as absorption, carrier generation and energy level control.

After this information, the physical size and geometric shape of quantum dots have a significant effect on efficiency by determining their optical and electrical properties[15]. Other than these, chemical structure and temperature effect also play a very determinant and efficient role on the overall performance of the solar cell. As the size decreases, the quantum confinement effect increases, which causes the energy band gap to expand. In addition, the shape factor affects the directional motion of the carriers, while the material composition determines the location, stability and absorption spectrum of the energy levels. Therefore, detailed analysis and optimization of these structural parameters of the quantum dot are of enormous significance in terms of increasing both the theoretical and practical efficiency of quantum dot solar cells.



2.1 Structural Factors Determining Efficiency in Quantum Dot Solar Cells

2.1.1 The Effect of Physical Size on Productivity

The physical size of quantum dots is the most fundamental factor determining the band gap. For higher efficiency, changes in the energy band structures of quantum dots should be taken into calculation; this makes the absorption and reabsorption of photons more suitable [16]. As the size decreases, the quantum confinement effect increases; this separates the energy levels of electron-hole pairs and widens the band gap. Small quantum dots with a wide band gap can absorb higher energy, i.e. short wavelength photons. In this way, they increase the sensitivity to the blue-green regions of the spectrum, optimizing the photovoltaic efficiency of the device, or larger quantum dots are effective in the red-IR region by absorbing low energy, i.e. long wavelength photons. This means that the spectral extent is widened.

However, due to the increasing surface-to-volume ratio as the size decreases, more defects occur on the surface of quantum dots. These defects become sites where carriers hit the surface and are lost, increasing the non-radiative recombination rates and reducing the lifetime of carriers in the device. Also, small and disorderely sized quantum dots can defeat the energy level homogeneity. This causes carriers to hit energy barriers while moving from one point to another, decreasing the carrying efficiency.



2.1.2 The Effect of Geometric Shape on Productivity

The geometric shape of quantum dots is an important parameter that directly affects carrier transport and light absorption. While spherical structures allow isotropic, i.e. equal movement of carriers in all directions, anisotropic structures such as nanorods or nanosheets allow directional carrier movement. In particular, rod-shaped quantum dots can increase carrier collection efficiency by allowing carriers to be transported faster in a certain direction. The shape of quantum dots plays a determined role in efficiency by affecting the distribution of energy levels and thus their optical properties. Cubic and cylindrical structures can show different efficiencies for certain applications [17]. However, shape differences can cause heterogeneities that are difficult to control during the production process. As the shape changes, the surface area increases, which can cause surface defects to multiply and recombination losses. Therefore, the shape factor should be carefully selected and balanced with other material parameters.

2.1.3 The Effect of Material on Productivity

The material from which quantum dots are made plays an important role in the optoelectronic properties of the device. Materials such as CdSe, PbS, InP can offer advantages in terms of band gap, carrier mobility, photostability, and environmental impact. For example, CdSe become prominent with its high photoluminescence efficiency (absorption of a photon by a material and subsequent re-emission of light), while PbS is suitable for operation in the IR region thanks to its narrower band gap. Lead-free materials such as InP are a more suitable alternative in terms of environmental safety.



2.1.4 The Effect of Temparature on Productivity

At high temperatures, the energy band gap of semiconductor materials generally narrows. This facilitates light absorption at certain wavelengths, increases the probability of carriers recombining, and can cause a decrease in cell efficiency. The performance of quantum dots is sensitive to temperature changes; a decrease in efficiency can be observed as the temperature increases due to structural changes[18]. It can affect carrier mobility and diffusion rate, reducing the probability of carriers reaching the electrodes. The device can work more smoothly when materials that are resistant to temperature changes are used. However, thermal stress during production and operation can destroyed the structural completeness of quantum dots, which can lead to device failure or performance degradation over time.

2.2 General Effects of Quantum Dot Structure on Efficiency

Quantum dot structure is among the factors that determine the efficiency of solar cells. The physical size, geometric shape, chemical structure and temperature of quantum dots affect both the absorption of light and the basic photovoltaic processes such as the generation, separation and collection of charge carriers.

Thanks to the quantum confinement effect that occurs as the size decreases, the band gap can be controlled and thus it is possible to efficiently absorb light photons of different wavelengths. The geometric shape factor affects the efficiency by directing the carrier transport. Quantum dots designed in different shapes such as spherical, rod or plate can enable carriers to move faster in certain directions. In material selection, the core and shell composition of the quantum dot directly determines the alignment of energy levels, the lifetime of the carriers and the operating mode of the device. With the right material combinations, recombination losses can be reduced and long-lasting solar cells can be obtained. Environmental factors such as temperature are also an important parameter affecting the performance of quantum dot structures. The development of temperature-resistant, thermally stable quantum dots provides a great advantage.

As a result, the correct establishment of quantum dot structure in future energy technologies will pave the way for more economical, environmentally friendly and high-performance solar energy systems.

3. QUANTUM EFFICIENCY LIMITATIONS AND LOSS MECHANISMS

First of all, what does radiative and non-radiative recombination mean? Semiconductors are the main materials used in electrical and optical applications due to their energy band structure. In these materials, electrons are excited by light or electrical energy and pass into the conduction band, where they remain for some time before recombining with vacancies (holes) in the valence band. These recombination processes are classified as radiative or non-radiative according to the form of energy transfer.

Radiative recombination is the emission of photons from the association of a delocalized conduction band electron with a localized valence band hole. In copper indium sulfide-based nanocrystals, this phenomenon accounts for the energy differences and photoluminescence lifetimes, and time-resolved photoluminescence and transient absorption measurements performed on nanocrystals with core-shell layer structures clearly showed that radiative recombination dominates in these structures, prolonging the photoluminescence lifetime and increasing the amount of photons.

As for non-radiative, it is non-radiative reassembly. It is formed when an electron and a hole combine without emitting photons through energy transfer. This is triggered by electron trapping in fault fields. Research and experiments on this subject have revealed differences in the behavior of signals from electrons and localized holes as time passes. While the amount of electrons decreases in the core structure, this loss is significantly reduced in the shell structure. Radiative and non-radiative reassembly are fundamental ingredients in the energy carrier dynamics of semiconductors. The former is a desirable and useful process, while the latter is often a source of loss. Balancing these mechanisms is critical for the development of more efficient solar cells, LEDs and sensors.



3.1 Charge Trapping, Auger Recombination And Interface Defects

In nanoscale semiconductors, there are three main phenomena that affect carrier dynamics, especially in the core and shell quantum regions: charge trapping, Auger recombination and interface defects. These phenomena play a major role in the performance of photoluminescence quantum yield and carrier lifetime.

3.1.1 Charge Trapping

Charge trapping, in general, is the trapping of electrons and holes at the wrong energy level or in distortions within the structure. These traps are usually formed by crystal distortions, morphological distortions or atomic impurities in the structure. Charge trapping effects are reduced when the interface sharpness is high at core-shell quantum dots; however, when the interface is 'soft', strain acts, defects are formed and charges are trapped at that point.

This trapping phenomenon reduces the carrier lifetime and lowers the photoluminescence quantum efficiency. Studies have shown that quantum dots with hard interfaces have less trapping, whereas at soft interfaces the trap density increases due to strain.

3.1.2 Auger Recombination

Auger recombination is a situation in which the energy released during the recombination of an electron and a hole is transferred to a third carrier, leading to energy loss. While the requirement of momentum conservation is important in this case, determining the position of the carriers also facilitates this process.

At sharp core-shell interfaces, Auger recombination rates are slower because the carrier wave functions are broader and the Auger process is suppressed due to momentum conservation. However, at soft interfaces, as mentioned in charge trapping, Auger recombination is accelerated due to defects caused by strain. This reduces the lifetime of the carriers and leads to a decrease in optical efficiency.

In experimental studies, distortions were observed as fast and slow components. The slow component is due to Auger recombination, while the fast component is due to defect-induced fast recombonation.

3.1.3 Interface Defects

Interface defects are caused by a mismatch in the crystal structure between core and shell materials or by structural distortions resulting from chemical processes. These defects result in the formation of localised energy level discrepancies. This has been shown to increase the occurrence of charge trapping and non-radiative recombination processes. Another significant situation is that while the risk of defect formation is reduced at sharp interfaces, the possibility of defect formation is high at alloyed or strain-distorted interfaces. Experimental observations have shown that the Auger recombination rate increases and the carrier lifetime is shortened in systems with high interface defects.

In conclusion, it can be determined that the optoelectronic performance of nanoscale semiconductors is determined by three key elements. As previously stated, the primary strategy for enhancing device performance and increasing quantum efficiency involves optimising interface sharpness, reducing strain-induced defects, and minimising trap densities.



3.2 Quantum Efficiency Limitations and Loss Mechanisms

Quantum efficiency (QE) is the ratio of electrical carriers created by an electronic device in response to the photons it receives. In devices such as solar cells, photodetectors and LEDs, QE reveals the photon-to-electron conversion efficiency of the device. In practical applications, quantum efficiency does not reach ideal levels. Various physical loss phenomena limit this efficiency.

Quantum efficiency is described in two basic ways: external quantum efficiency (EQE) and internal quantum efficiency (IQE). External quantum efficiency shows the number of electrons moved out versus the total number of photons incident on the device. Internal quantum efficiency (IQE) indicates the number of carriers formed in the device in response to the absorbed photons. While IQE shows the effect of optical and electronic losses more clearly, EQE reflects the response of the device to external factors and these two parameters play a very important role in the design of optoelectronic systems.

3.2.1 Factors Limiting Quantum Efficiency

Quantum efficiency, which can theoretically reach up to 100 percent, cannot reach this level in practice. The main limitations that cause this situation are; insufficient absorption, carrier losses, recombination mechanisms, surface and interface defects, optical losses. In addition to these, the band structures of the semiconductor materials used also play an important role. Materials with a direct band gap can absorb photons more efficiently, while those with an indirect band gap require additional energy, which reduces the probability of carrier formation

and affects carrier efficiency and recombination rate. To increase efficiency, techniques such as reflection-reducing coatings, surface passivation, multilayer structures and plasmonic structures can be used.

In these contexts, quantum efficiency is in fact a fundamental parameter that determines the performance of optoelectronic devices. Efficiency losses can be reduced by understanding physical processes and engineering approaches. Thus, higher efficiency devices can be developed.

4. INCREASING THE EFFICIENCY OF CARRIER AND QUANTUM EFFICIENCY

4.1 Passivation

Semiconductor materials have a high surface density of states (>10 13 cm -2), lacking a high-quality intrinsic oxide passivation layer.[19] This results on the fermi level remaining unchanged, electrons or holes meeting again and damping each other, and for these reason the performance and efficiency of the semiconductor device falls below the desired level.

Samples of the effects of surface defects on the performance of a semiconductor are in solar cells, surface defects because of the loss of light carriers in the surface and thus reduce the quantum effect; in semiconductor lasers, surface defects because recombination of electrons and holes, which leads to reduced laser emission and damage to the optical mirror. These are good examples of how surface defects on the semiconductor material can lead to recombination of electrons and holes, reducing device efficiency.

So how do we prevent and increase this efficiency degradation? In order to improve the performance of the semiconductor device, we need to remove the degradation on these surfaces, that is, we need to passivate them. Many techniques and strategies have been used by engineers to make these passivation's. Chemical etching, ion sputtering, controlled annealing with additional passivation film deposition [19] are samples of these strategies. The maximum efficiency we expect after using these techniques are to reconstruct the complex and degraded surface of the semiconductor and make it simple. The passivation film additionally acts as a barrier at the surface of the semiconductor material, preventing re-oxidation.

4.1.1 Sulfur Pacification

The aim of this chemical process is to cover the surface of the semiconductor material with sulfur atoms to prevent the electrons and holes on the surface from recombining and damping each other and to reduce the potential stresses at the surface. That process increases the p-n junction efficiency.

4.1.2 Plasma Pacification

Plasma surface cleaning technology is a very necessary technology in semiconductors. It is the technique of removing unwanted particles at the surface, called dirt, with ionized gases and chemical reactions. [20] This process reduces the density of the semiconductor's surface, allowing electrons and holes to move more freely.

4.2 Multiple Exciton Generation (MEG)

It is the phenomenon where electrons in the valence band and holes on the conduction band are excited by a photon, causing the electrons in the conduction band to increase and the holes in the valence band to increase. For example, in solar cells, it is assumed that the energy of each photon excites only one of the electrons in the valence band, and after this photon excites the electron, the remaining energy is absorbed by light or heat. But these solar cells can excite more than one electron in the valence band by emitting higher energy photons and more electrons and holes are produced.

As we know, quantum efficiency is the ratio of electrons in the valence band excited by a photon to electrons in the valence band excited by the photon. Its formula is:

(QE)= TOTAL NUMBER OF ELECTRONS PRODUCED/TOTAL NUMBER OF PHOTONS COMING

According to this formula, the number of electrons excited increases because we send a higher energy photon, and the quantum efficiency increases because the number of photons decreases.

4.3 Increasing Doping Efficiency

In semiconductor technologies, doping is vital. Doping adjusts the conductivity of the semiconductor material and the amount of carrier charge to the user's specifications, thereby increasing the efficiency of the semiconductor material to the desired level. There are many

different strategies for doping that allow the user to achieve the desired efficiency. Some of these are as follows:

4.3.1 Choosing The Right Dopant

There are many factors to consider when choosing the dopant material. For example, the atomic radius of the doped material, the energy level of the doped material, the compatibility of the dopant material with the doped material, for example, for silicon, phosphorus or arsenic is preferred for n-type doping; boron is preferred for p-type doping.

4.3.2 Dopant Optimization

When manufacturing the semiconductor device, we should adjust the doping concentration according to the semiconductor device we choose. Over doping or under doping without adjustment reduces the performance of the semiconductor device and may not provide the user with the desired events. Too much doping restricts the movement of electrons and holes and triggers recombination.

4.3.3 Heat Treatment

After ion implantation, one of the types of doping, the doped atoms are reactivated by heat treatment. This process allows the ions to settle into the voids in the crystal lattice and increases the carrier density.

4.4 Band Gap Engineering

The epitaxial technique is the growth of multilayer structures by precise doping at the atomic level or at distances as short as tens of angstroms. One of these important structures is quantum wells.

When creating quantum wells, we compress a thin bandgap layer between two wide bandgap semiconductors. When measuring the depth of the well, we consider the distance between the conduction band for electrons and the valence band for holes and the areas below them. If many quantum wells are put together and the barriers are shorter than 50 angstroms, we get a term called superlattice, which is very important for band gap engineering. Many superlattices with different properties can be produced. For example: Nippy superlattices by periodically alternating ultra-thin n-type and p-type layers, Sawtooth superlattices by periodically grading the composition, Modulation doped superlattices by alternating undoped layers with doped layers with wider bandgaps.[21] Thanks to this diversity, the lifetime of the charge carriers is adjusted by materials engineers according to band gap engineering and the efficiency of these charge carriers is increased.

CONCLUSION

Quantum dot solar cells offer a highly promising direction for the future of solar energy technologies. We examined the importance and challenges of QDSCs under four main topics. First of all, it's very crucial to understand the fundamentals of charge carrier dynamics 'such as separation, generation, band-gap relationship, quantum confinement, core/shell architectures, transport, and recombination' is essential to enhancing device performance and decreasing energy losses. Secondly, quantum dot structure and it's influence on efficiency, and structural factors determining efficiency is very important because directly related how much light can be absorbed and how efficiently it can be converted into electricity. These properties very vital in optimizing efficiency and performance.

Additionally, the quantum efficiency limitations that prevent QDSCs from reaching their full potential. non-radiative recombination, charge trapping, and interface defects are still barrier in real life applications. Therefore, we concentrate on improve carriers dynamics, and efficiency. We offer solutions surface passivation, multiple exciton generation, increasing doping efficiency, and advanced device architectures for significant developments in efficiency and performance

In conclusion, quantum dot solar cells are still a enhancing technology. If we can realize, develop and use this QDCS's potential. It will carry us to a better future and tomorrow.

FUTURE OUTLOOK

QDSCs is unique and promise for the future of energy and photovoltaic technology. Thanks to their special quantum mechanical properties offer in solor cells design. Their adjustable bandgaps, potential multiple excition generation is aneble them break the efficiency limitations when we compare the traditional silicon-based cells. Recent years, enhancement and advancements in QD synthesis, surface passivation, and band gap engineering are significantly reduce non-radiative recombination losses and increase efficiency of charge carrier mobility.

Additionally, QDCS promise a lot for the future, flexible, lightweight and moreover for future devices. For next generation applications for example, portable energy systems and next generation integrated photovoltaics. Furthermore, researchers are developing semi-transparent GDSCs for smart windows, where they can both harvest solor energy and control light transmission. Core / shell QD designs band alignments are being investigated to improve charge separation and decrease surface losses these are important for performance.

In conclusion, the future outlook of QDSCs depends on durability, long-term usage, stability and more crucial environmental concerns, specialy with toxic elements used in QDs. Together, these innovations point toward a future. QDSC has wide range of multifunctionality properties and useage area. Quantum dot solar cells offer us a more useful, effective and cleaner way to build our future. As we walk on this path, we must be very careful about the bricks we build and proceed with sure steps.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Y. Fu *et al.*, "Applications of nanomaterial technology in biosensing," *Journal of Science: Advanced Materials and Devices*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 100694, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jsamd.2024.100694.

[2] "(PDF) Over 15% Efficiency PbS Quantum-Dot Solar Cells by Synergistic Effects of Three Interface Engineering: Reducing Nonradiative Recombination and Balancing Charge Carrier Extraction," *ResearchGate*, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1002/aenm.202201676.

[3] "(PDF) Stronger Coupling of Quantum Dots in Hole Transport Layer ThroughIntermediate Ligand Exchange to Enhance the Efficiency of PbS Quantum Dot Solar Cells."Accessed: May 05, 2025. [Online]. Available:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379782268_Stronger_Coupling_of_Quantum_Dots _in_Hole_Transport_Layer_Through_Intermediate_Ligand_Exchange_to_Enhance_the_Effic iency_of_PbS_Quantum_Dot_Solar_Cells

[4] "researchopenworld.com." Accessed: May 05, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://researchopenworld.com/core-shell-quantum-dot-solar-cells-recent-advances-and-future-perspectives/

[5] M. C. Beard, "Multiple Exciton Generation in Semiconductor Quantum Dots," *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, vol. 2, no. 11, pp. 1282–1288, Jun. 2011, doi: 10.1021/jz200166y.

[6] L. Zhou, B. Bo, X. Yan, C. Wang, Y. Chi, and X. Yang, "Brief Review of Surface Passivation on III-V Semiconductor," *Crystals*, vol. 8, no. 5, 2018, doi: 10.3390/cryst8050226.

[7] "Cleaning with plasma: Better gluing, printing, painting - Plasma.com." Accessed: May 05, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.plasma.com/en/cleaning-withplasma/?kampagne=1&gad_source=1&gad_campaignid=1824490010&gbraid=0AAAAADvSGGyV6xBM5RGv4yTZ8rNNtGs8&gclid=Cj0KCQjwt8zABhDKARIsAHXuD7bbGRsyt Wb0HWECj5znFJNWmqRaQjjelcHy9730OcBFSm_aotgcv5oaAunwEALw_wcB

[8] F. Capasso, "Band-Gap Engineering: From Physics and Materials to New
Semiconductor Devices," *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, vol. 235, pp. 172–6, Feb. 1987, doi: 10.1126/science.235.4785.172.

18

[9] T. Zhou, G. Chen, R. Liao, and Z. Xu, "Charge trapping and detrapping in polymeric materials: Trapping parameters," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 110, no. 4, 2011.

[10] G. A. Beane, K. Gong, and D. F. Kelley, "Auger and carrier trapping dynamics in core/shell quantum dots having sharp and alloyed interfaces," *Acs Nano*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 3755–3765, 2016.

[11] A. C. Berends *et al.*, "Radiative and nonradiative recombination in CuInS2 nanocrystals and CuInS2-based core/shell nanocrystals," *The journal of physical chemistry letters*, vol. 7, no. 17, pp. 3503–3509, 2016.

[12] A. Cuevas, "The Recombination Parameter J0," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 55, pp. 53–62, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2014.08.073.

[13] S. Rühle, "Tabulated values of the Shockley–Queisser limit for single junction solar cells," *Solar Energy*, vol. 130, pp. 139–147, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2016.02.015.

[1]

[14] Y. Fu *et al.*, "Applications of nanomaterial technology in biosensing," *Journal of Science: Advanced Materials and Devices*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 100694, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jsamd.2024.100694.

[15] "Quantum Dots for Electronics and Energy Applications." Accessed: May 09, 2025.
[Online]. Available: <u>https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/TR/en/technical-documents/technical-article/materials-science-and-engineering/photovoltaics-and-solar-cells/quantum-dots-noncadmium?srsltid=AfmBOorlV3xIca8XruJ_VJMKx5n1_iY13e34jJMRIPvN211CBkWpK6
Cz</u>

[16] Yang G, Liu W, Bao Y, et al. Performance optimization of In(Ga)As quantum dot intermediate band solar cells. *Discov Nano*. 2023;18(1):67. Published 2023 Apr 20. doi:10.1186/s11671-023-03839-z

[17] M. Kirak and S. Yılmaz, "Binding Energy of Cylindrical Quantum Dots: Effects of External Electric Field and Impurity Position," *Bozok Journal of Science*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 81–87, Nov. 2024, doi: <u>10.70500/bjs.1577583</u>.

[18] Mahato B, Das PK, Bhardwaj A. InP/ZnS Quantum Dots for High-Sensitivity
Temperature Sensors. ACS Omega. 2024 Sep 24;9(40):41651-41661. doi: 10.1021/acsomega.4c05644. PMID: 39398170; PMCID: PMC11465522.

[19] L. Zhou, B. Bo, X. Yan, C. Wang, Y. Chi, and X. Yang, "Brief Review of Surface Passivation on III-V Semiconductor," *Crystals*, vol. 8, no. 5, 2018, doi: <u>10.3390/cryst8050226</u>.

[20] "Cleaning with plasma: Better gluing, printing, painting - Plasma.com." Accessed: May 05, 2025. [Online]. Available: <u>https://www.plasma.com/en/cleaning-with-plasma/?kampagne=1&gad_source=1&gad_campaignid=1824490010&gbraid=0AAAAAD-vSGGyV6xBM5RGv4yTZ8rNNtGs8&gclid=Cj0KCQjwt8zABhDKARIsAHXuD7bbGRsyt Wb0HWECj5znFJNWmqRaQjjelcHy9730OcBFSm_aotgcv5oaAunwEALw_wcB</u>

[21] F. Capasso, "Band-Gap Engineering: From Physics and Materials to New Semiconductor Devices," *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, vol. 235, pp. 172–6, Feb. 1987, doi: 10.1126/science.235.4785.172.